THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

Vol. 31. No. 20.

Registered at G.P.O. as a Newspaper. Postage: home 1½d. abroad 1d.

SATURDAY, JANUARY 9, 1954.

6d. Weekly.

From Week to Week

The American President's pledge to sustain prosperity (for Americans) during 1954 without dependence upon "war or the preparations for war" seems at first sight to forecast a vast public works programme—roads, schools, socialised Medicine (the Beveridge Plan) complete with social insurance under a vaster bureaucracy and pensions—"carthorse conditions for all." This is Socialism, the Charybdis to match Communism's Scylla.

The Tablet, which recently had plenty of room for the romantic economics of Mr. Colin Clark—five chapters—, makes no fewer than eight identifiable 'cuts' in its account (January 2, 1954) of the Christmas Eve Allocation of Pope Pius XII, on "The Technological Spirit," or "The Technological Mind."

None can say how significant a suppressed passage may be until he sees it, and we do not claim to be exceptional in this respect. All we can record is that each of the 'cuts' occurs just at the place where we most desired to absorb the context, and that no 'cut' at all mars The Tablet's report from the point where the Pope turned his attention to "the grey vision of a still unsettled Europe." The subject matter of the mutilated first portion is the same as that of the address, last September, in London, of the Chairman of the Social Credit Secretariat, and does "challenge this monstrous and fantastic overgrowth of industrial expansion—fundamentally" (the words are Douglas's), a duty which was suggested as our own on that occasion.

Will a reader send us the unexpurgated Italian text of the Pope's address? As a spur to interest, we quote:—
"The Technological concept of life is therefore nothing else than a particular form of materialism, in so far as it offers a mathematical formula and utilitarian calculations as the ultimate answer to the question of existence. Because of this, modern technological development, as if conscious of being lost in darkness, is showing uneasiness and anxiety, experienced especially by those who engage in the feverish search for industrial methods ever more complicated, ever more hazardous."

Mr. A. K. Chesterton writes in Candour for January 1:—"The latest development in my own career, which I invited when I launched Candour and which I ensured when I attacked Sir Winston Churchill's presidency over the liquidation of the British Empire, is that I have now again lost my employment. Although I have written and edited Candour without fee, in my own time and without embarrassing my employer by my own name, it was too much to expect that this venture would be tolerated. I make no complaint. Lord Beaverbrook has the right to engage whom he will and

to dismiss whom he will. For him personally, as distinct from some of the dank, tricky-eyed personalities who serve him, I have both affection and respect, based on the conviction that according to his own lights he has done his best—not a very effective best—to preserve the British Empire.

"The new development poses certain problems, but it has some immediate advantages. It restores to me complete freedom of action. It enables me, under my own name, to take full responsibility for *Candour* and all that has appeared in it. And it saves me the trouble of having to equivocate about the genealogy—or rather, the more recent genealogy—of Philip Faulconbridge, who here surrenders the secret of his identity."

Not all of those to whom the 'secret' was entrusted have kept it, but their indiscretion probably did little harm. Mr. Chesterton's tribute to Lord Beaverbrook, such as it is, is his business. Beaverbrook is a professional bean-spiller, who has raised himself to what real power he has by exploiting his particular aptitude. That, as Mr. Chesterton says, he hasn't preserved the British Empire thereby is, in our opinion, because bean-spilling, unless it is so discriminating as entirely to rob; it of its money-making potentialities, is not The tag quoted here lately applies: "Truth no enough. longer solves problems. It is only one more argument." The impetuous citizenry have their fun and games, and the stake-holder is the sole beneficiary. Democracy as it is conducted by the stake-holders and held up to admiration by: their slaves, is "a trap set by knaves to catch simpletons."

Lord Radcliffe, whose opinions on Power and the State have been further explored in these pages, is among those who are probing the vexed question of "Education for Elizabethans." The results appear in an address at Westminster Medical School partly reported in *The Lancet* for December 26. He says that "by our standard [the first Elizabethans] were curiously indifferent to success."

NOTICE

Change of Address

Until the arrangements now proceeding are completed for the collection of all the business activities of the Secretariat and its agents under one roof, ALL COMMUNICATIONS INTENDED FOR Messrs. K.R.P Publications, Ltd., and the Social Credit Secretariat should be addressed to the present Editorial Office at

49, Prince Alfred Road, Liverpool, 15

AUSTRALIAN, NEW ZEALAND AND CANADIAN SOCIAL CREDIT NEWSPAPERS PLEASE COPY.

THE SOCIAL CREDITER

FOR POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC REALISM

This journal expresses and supports the policy of the Social Credit Secretariat, which is a non-party, non-class organisation neither connected with nor supporting any political party, Social Credit or otherwise.

SUBSCRIPTION RATES: Home and abroad, post free: One year 30/-; Six months 15/-; Three months 7s. 6d. Offices (Temporarily as follows):—Business and Editorial: 49, PRINCE ALFRED ROAD, LIVERPOOL, 15, Telephone: SEFton Park 435.

Vol. 31. No. 20.

Saturday, January 9, 1954.

Social Credit in Australia and Overseas*

This is the second time I have had the pleasure of addressing a meeting of Social Crediters in Melbourne. I am invited to speak to you on Social Credit in Australia and overseas since the death of Major Douglas, and it is because I think that in Melbourne is to be found the best of the existing embodiments—incarnations—of the Social Credit idea in Australia that I have this pleasure in addressing you.

I am going to interpret the subject nominated for this address widely, for a start, in order to provide a frame of reference for more particular remarks. I want you to think of what Social Credit in fact is.

Social Credit is primarily an idea. In the platonic sense an idea is defined as an eternally existing pattern, of which individual things in any class are imperfect copies. That is the Concise Oxford Dictionary definition, but I think that "expressions," or "embodiments," would be better descriptions than "copies." For example, Economic Democracy, Douglas's first book, is not Social Credit itself; it is one expression of the idea Douglas had, and after that, from time to time he expressed the same idea in different ways, emphasing different aspects on different occasions, applying this idea to different circumstances.

I suppose most of us came to Social Credit first of all through the technical side—financial technique. We could see that things in the world were wrong, we could see—thanks to Douglas's analysis—how they were wrong and we could see how to put them right. It seemed that the obvious thing to do was to capture the machinery of government, and apply our patent cure for the ills of Society. We had the same touching faith in our ability to set things right as have the Socialists—amongst whom we must include the Liberals—today.

But, of course, we can't do that any more than can the Socialists.—And the reason is that Society is an organic and living thing. It is no more possible to plan Society than to plan a tree—even with a Social Credit plan. You can stunt it, or prune it, or encourage its growth one way or another, or kill it. But you are dependent all the time on the inherent life of Society itself, and that life is subject to, or rather in accordance with, Laws which, as Douglas emphasised, we can discover but neither invent nor alter nor circumvent. "Society is primarily metaphysical."

Now broadly speaking, Social Credit is simply that way of regarding Society—or, for that matter, the Universe. And so is Christianity. Christianity is concerned with the Law of the Love of God; Social Credit with the Law of Society.

I believe that the most important spiritual revelation ever disclosed was that the nature of God is love. It is quite evident that even the slight love of God, and love of neighbour, that have so far been realised on earth has nevertheless transformed society. There is such a thing as Christian civilisation, which is different from any other civilisation past or present of which we know. Chinese civilisation, which largely embodied Lao-Tse's idea was similar.

But the Laws, or facts of the universe, revealed in Christianity cannot be imposed on society. You cannot make a man love his neighbour. But as love does replace the competition and jealousy and envy which seem to be the more primitive relation of man to man, so society grows, becomes, Christian. It is the existence and the example and the influence of Christians which make society Christian.

I trust it is clear to you that I am speaking not as a theologian, but as a scientist, a sociologist, a Social Crediter, in making these remarks about Christianity. In my present capacity, I do not, and need not, go further than to state what is evident on inspection, so to speak. After the recent outbreak of war, we all know the difference between a society impregnated with hate, and a society impregnated with love, even if the latter is not much in evidence. There are, indeed, only individuals, and little societies within Society, inspired and living in love.

Now all this has a bearing on the position of Social Credit—everywhere. Social Credit is, of course, a religion, in the sense Douglas defined it—"a binding back to Reality." Social Credit is in fact a revelation of the nature of Society, and of the laws appropriate to that nature. But remember that these laws are not inventions or impositions; they are statements of perceived facts. They are not statements of what 'ought' to be, or even guides to conduct. What the knowledge of natural laws means is that the knower knows what will happen if he acts in accordance with them, or contrary to them.

Someone once remarked that events behaved as if they were in Douglas's pay, or words to that effect. And it is true that Douglas forsaw with remarkably detailed accuracy the general course of events. That was because he understood the nature of society, and the general laws of that nature, and therefore could know whether a given policy was in accord or not with those laws, and therefore what the result would be. This, indeed, is the sort of thing that the doctor and the engineer are doing all the time; to the extent that they know the nature of the thing they are dealing with, they know what will happen next in particular circumstances, and how they can co-operate in bringing about a desired result out of a number which may be possible if some of the circumstances can be varied. But I know very well as a doctor that I never 'cure' anything. The body heals itself whenever it is possible; and all that a doctor can do is to provide what may be lacking to make that process possible. Or, knowing what particular thing is happening, he may be able to say that what is being done is inappropriate to the desired result, and to say what the real result will be. And so Douglas made it plain in his first book, Economic Democracy, that disaster would follow the attempt being made to use Society as the vehicle of the

^{*}An address by Dr. Bryan W. Monahan to the members of the Douglas Social Credit Movement of Victoria, Australia, by invitation during December, 1953. Questions put at the close of the address and Dr. Monahan's replies will be published next week.

will-to-power of a relatively small group of men. He said that an imposed pyramidal organisation of Society was wrong—wrong because it was contrary to the actual nature of Society, and would in consequence lead to what it has led to, which is what we have now.

I think it is becoming more and more clear how entirely secondary the matter of financial technique is in relation to this matter. As Douglas said, the present financial system is perfect for its purpose: it is the purpose which is wrong. Those of us who have lived now for twenty years or so with the idea of the compensated price, and in general with the idea of the application of financial credit to bring about a desired result, know that there is no problem in financial technique. And so do our opponents. I think that they have demonstrated their complete mastery of financial technique in their adapation of it to the changing circumstances of this past twenty years when we have been conscious of this technique.

I hope this broad but sketchy survey helps to show the relationship of the Social Credit Movement to the world to-day, which is the subject on which I am invited to speak to you-tonight.

The Social Credit Movement, then, is a group of people who have had revealed to them the true, the actual, nature of Society. They are united by a sort of *credo*, which has not, so far as I know, ever been made explicit, but whose first term would naturally be the belief that Society is primarily metaphysical, and that its development is organic. Another belief we share is that the cultural heritage is the natural and unconditional birth-right of the individual. And, I think, we also share the belief that Douglas was one of those men who appear only occasionally in the history of mankind, to whom is given the power of direct insight into the nature of Reality in one aspect or another, and whose statements about Reality therefore have the force of revelation to those "with eyes to see and ears to hear."

I think those are our essential beliefs, the fundamentals of our philosophy, our faith, our credit.

Well then, as a group of people we exist in the world, and towards that world we have a policy—the policy of our philosophy—and that policy is what is generally known as Social Credit. This policy is the form that the idea of Social Credit takes in the world. It is this policy that leads to the organisation and activity of the Social Credit Movement. It is this policy that leads to the writing of books, the publication of periodicals, the giving of addresses, and the various endeavours to grow into and influence the growth of Society. We embody a vital principle which we wish to infuse into society.

These things that we do are not, of course, Social Credit itself. Social Credit is that "eternally existing idea," while the things that we do in the name of Social Credit are the more or less imperfect copies or, a better word, I think, incarnations, or embodiments. "In the beginning was the Word."

As a group of people we are not alone. Society is full of groups of people, organised by some philosophy, and therefore having some policy towards Society. Most of these do not directly concern us; but some do, very closely. There are those whose philosophy is in accord with ours; and there are those who are actively opposed to us. The

latter are those who see Society as a medium for the expression of their will-to-power.

It seems to me—and here I speak with the experience of a medical practitioner—that the will-to-power is, from a sociological view-point, the most presently important practical aspect of human psychology. It seems to be the desire—unconscious no doubt—to impose their ideas on others which attracts the great majority of politicians to their —what should one call it?—calling?

This is a long story, but its telling is not suited to this occasion. The short of it is that there is a constant supply of people, probably unconscious of their motives, who are the best possible tools for another group of people who are quite conscious of what they are doing, and why. major fact we have to face is that there is an attempt, by fully self-conscious people, to convert, or reconstruct, Society, to a form which places that group in a position equivalent to the directing intelligence of a single organism. It is the community of bees with this difference: that the queen-bee is to be a group of conscious, knowing, organisers; but the 'common man' is to be reduced to a largely un-self-conscious part of the whole. There are, at the present time, two large processes going on: the construction of the pyramid of control, and the depersonalising of individuals. The former is comprised of the various be-lettered International Authorities, founded on essentially totalitarian national governments; and the latter is carried on remorsely through the squalid daily Press, and various periodicals from comics to The Economist and The New Statesman, and through broadcasting and television.

Our efforts for the past several years have been largely devoted to making that situation plain; first of all to understanding Douglas's discernment of the facts, and then to spreading knowledge of those facts to the appropriate quarters—such as they are. Until Douglas died, we had his guidance on strategy, and not much else to worry about.

With his death, however, we have the responsibility of preventing distortion of the Social Credit idea as it is manifested in Society. During his life-time there were plenty of people who were anxous to convince others that they knew better than Douglas what Douglas meant. They were a nuisance in confusing the public, but no problem to those who knew what Douglas was. Where they made confusion, Douglas was always there to clarify the picture; and in fact he constanly kept the picture clear, mostly through notes or longer articles in *The Social Crediter*.

But now we have a situation where anyone can say "my interpretation of this or that is just as good as yours," and then go off and endeavour to win public support on the best party lines for that interpretation; and, of course, get his own prestige involved, so that it becomes a personal issue.

There may be occasions for 'interpretation' of Douglas some time in the future. I believe ideas do need re-stating when there is a sufficient change from the environment in which they first became known. But you have only to think of Christianity to realise how slow that change is. Mostly we find only that we understand more deeply the profundity of the sayings of Jesus as the world changes and we know more about it.

It is certain that there is no present need for us to 'interpret.' Our task is to understand as well as each of us

can the idea of Social Credit, and to embody that idea as perfectly as we can in whatever we do that touches on Social Credit; and practically that means anything we do 'in association'—anything from social intercourse to politics.

There will, of course, be heresies. They started, indeed, long ago. Even were it not an all-too-human characteristic to differ, our enemies—our conscious enemies—would sow discord and divisions. The Government of Alberta does not receive the blessing of the world Press for being truly Social Credit! nor does the Social Credit Secretariat receive "the silent treatment" for its divergence.

You cannot entrust a real idea to the mob. Somebody must be responsible. Douglas took the precaution of founding the Secretariat, and of bringing it up, so to speak, to be responsible for the idea of Social Credit. Now I don't think Douglas regarded Social Credit as his idea. It was, as he put it himself, "a glimpse of Reality"—an insight into one aspect of the nature of things. And his whole attitude was one of responsibility towards that idea. I don't think he had any desire to see a Social Credit world, except in the sense of seeing things 'right' instead of wrong. He had no personal feelings about the matter, I am sure. He had humility. "Not my will, but thine be done."

Part of the responsibility which Douglas accepted was to provide for continued responsibility for the idea to which he devoted himself. And I really do not see how it is possible not to recognise the primacy in responsibility of what Douglas recognised as the only official body for the transmission of what he had to say, and of which, indeed, he was himself a part—the Advisory Chairman.

Where it is not deliberate and malign, it is just sheer bad manners to retain the name Social Credit for an activity which is a divergence from what Douglas recognised. But malign or bad mannered, it is something that can only be faced by the existence of a group of people who so far as possible have perfected themselves in the idea of Social Credit. If we are right, if we really do know something at least of the way things are, and if we live that idea out in our lives, as Douglas did, then we are doing all we can.

I think what I have said is not quite what I was expected to say. That is because I believe that the profoundly important thing is the depth of Social Credit, what matters is the existence of understanding individuals. The has been poisoned—know that. They believe, as I understand it, that everything depends on the understanding and development of the Emperor: not because he rules, but because he exists.

I think we become socialists and materialists as soon as we wish in any way to impose a Social Credit technique. We can never be a Party, seeking power. Our task, perhaps, is to keep Parties in their place. We should be able, with authority, to pronounce on what is right or wrong in relation to that part of Reality of which we, following Douglas, have caught a glimpse.

When Douglas died, we inherited his responsibility. Whoever truly is a Social Crediter, shares that responsibility. But the responsibility is centred in the Social Credit Secretariat, and concentrated in its Chairman.[*]

[*]Whoever he may be. While the individual as such is always responsible, form always transcends individual experience of it in the organic world. Compare Douglas's statement that individuals are more influenced by their function than their function by them. (Editor, T.S.C.)

And finally: To the extent that you, as an individual, are truly a Social Crediter, you have a Social Credit world. Your relationship with Society is 'right'; you know where you stand in relation to Society; you know how to go about things. You must not want, in a personal sense, low prices and a dividend. As a Social Crediter, your attitude is the attitude of the engineers; that what is to be done must be done in accord with the nature of things.

What Douglas was, brought the Social Credit Movement into being; what the Social Credit Movement is, will, in due course, transform Society.

Social Credit Secretariat Lectures and Studies Section

Primarily for candidates in Australia and New Zealand, an examination for the Diploma of Associate will be held in March next.

Canadian candidates who did not satisfy the examiners at the 1953 examination and British candidates will be permitted to enter for the examination on payment of the fee of 10/6 to the Registrar, Mrs. Hyatt, 21, Milton Road, London, N.6., or, in cases in which repayment of entrance fee has been waived,* on application to the same address.

*NOTE: These are special cases in which the examination was not completed or was attended by some other special circumstance.

BOOKS TO READ

By C. H. Douglas: -

The Monopoly of Credit12/-
The Brief for the Prosecution8/6
The Alberta Experiment6/-
Economic Democracy(edition exhausted)
Social Credit3/6
Credit Power and Democracy(edition exhausted)
Warning Democracy(edition exhausted)
The Big Idea2/6
Programme for the Third World War2/-
The "Land for the (Chosen) People" Racket2/-
The Realistic Position of the Church of England8d.
Realistic Constitutionalism8d.
Money and the Price System7d.
The Use of Money7d.
The Tragedy of Human Effort7d.
The Policy of a Philosophy7d.
Security, Institutional and Personal6d.
Reconstruction6d.
Social Credit Principles
/DI 11 /

(Please allow for postage when remitting.)

From K.R.P. Publications Limited, 49, Prince Alfred Road, Liverpool, 15.

Published by K.R.P. Publications Ltd., at (temporarily) 49, Prince Alfred Road, Liverpool, 15.

Printed by J. Hayes & Co., Woolton.